

# 2016 Major Automated Information System Annual Report



**Tactical Mission Command (TMC)** 

Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval (DAMIR)

# **Table of Contents**

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs	3
Program Information	4
Responsible Office	4
References	4
Program Description	5
Business Case	6
Program Status	7
Schedule	8
Performance	9
Cost	11

TMC

# **Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs**

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

AoA - Analysis of Alternatives

ATO - Authority To Operate

APB - Acquisition Program Baseline

BY - Base Year

CAE - Component Acquisition Executive

CDD - Capability Development Document

**CPD** - Capability Production Document

DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive

DoD - Department of Defense

DoDAF - DoD Architecture Framework

FD - Full Deployment

FDD - Full Deployment Decision

FY - Fiscal Year

IA - Information Assurance

IATO - Interim Authority to Operate

ICD - Initial Capability Document

IEA - Information Enterprise Architecture

IOC - Initial Operational Capability

IP - Internet Protocol

IT - Information Technology

**KPP - Key Performance Parameter** 

\$M - Millions of Dollars

MAIS - Major Automated Information System

MAIS OE - MAIS Original Estimate

MAR - MAIS Annual Report

MDA - Milestone Decision Authority

MDD - Materiel Development Decision

MILCON - Military Construction

MS - Milestone

N/A - Not Applicable

O&S - Operating and Support

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

PB - President's Budget

RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

SAE - Service Acquisition Executive

TBD - To Be Determined

TY - Then Year

U.S.C- United States Code

USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, & Logistics

# **Program Information**

## **Program Name**

Tactical Mission Command (TMC)

## **DoD Component**

Army

# **Responsible Office**

## Program Manager

Mr. Robert Tisch Phone: 443-395-1701

Building 6007 Fax:

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

DSN Phone: 648-1701

**DSN Fax:** 

Robert.j.tisch.civ@mail.mil Date Assigned: February 5, 2016

#### References

# **MAIS Original Estimate**

December 31, 2007

## Approved APB

Army Acquisition Executive (AAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated August 2, 2013

UNCLASSIFIED

## **Program Description**

Tactical Mission Command (TMC) is a family of systems with an enduring mission to provide mission command computing capabilities to Army commanders and their staffs, consisting primarily of a user-customizable Common Operating Picture (COP) enabled with real-time collaboration. These capabilities, together with Voice-Over-Internet-Protocol, combine to provide real-time situational awareness and decision support capabilities across the formation (battalion through Army Service Component Command). A key component of the Mission Command (MC) capability that TMC delivers is the ability to layer information from multiple warfighting functions on the same map display and share that among command posts, allowing all parties to have a common view with the command. While these capabilities are currently provided by Command Post of the Future (CPOF), TMC is now engaged in transitioning to the web-based Command Post Computing Environment (CPCE).

#### TMC FAMILY OF SYSTEMS

#### Legacy Products:

- CPOF has been the Army's primary mission command software system since 2007. CPOF gives commanders and their staffs the ability to enhance operational effectiveness by enabling broad human collaboration and providing a wide array of real-time situational awareness tools in support of decision making, planning, rehearsal, and execution management.
- Battle Command Common Services (BCCS) is the heart of interoperability for all MC Systems with the Common Operating Environment. The BCCS architecture is designed for scalability both from a hardware and baseline software architecture perspective, and can be adopted to support various tactical unit standard operating procedures, processes, and integration needs.
- Maneuver Control System (MCS) Version 6.4 as a stand-alone client has reached the end of its service life. It is migrating out of the Army Architecture in accordance with Chief Information Officer G6 direction and will be removed from the MC baseline.
  - Battle Command Sustainment Support System (BCS3) is being fully divested in second quarter FY 2016.

#### **Developmental Products:**

- TacApps represents the complete porting of all MCS 6.4 and BCS3 CPD requirements to the web based environment in support of Army objectives. TacApps also aims to minimize administrative burdens on the user and simplify the overall MC collaborative experience.
- WAVE is the voice communications solution for TMC products, and also serves as a voice bridging technology allowing disparate voice solutions to communicate seamlessly over the network. WAVE is slated to replace the older Ventrilo technology resident within CPOF.
- Command Web Collaborative Data Infrastructure gives the non-provisioned user a web-based COP viewer and data management capability. It is a collaborative, visualization and planning application that also provides a common map display application-a foundational component for all map-centric web applications developed by stakeholders within the CPCE.

### **Business Case**

Business Case Analysis, including the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA): Key functional requirements for this program were articulated in the MCS "Good Enough" Operational Requirements Document (ORD) dated March 23, 2004, the current Capabilities Production Document (MCS 6.4 CPD), and the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) approval on June 23, 2008, and are summarized as follows: Serves as the TMC system for commanders and staffs from battalion through army service component command and provides essential C2 capability through a collection of maneuver staff tools, collaborative capabilities, and enterprise services.

Prior to achieving a planned Milestone III and IOC, a program evaluation and restructure was completed. As part of the program evaluation, the schedule, performance, and costs were reviewed. The AoA considered maintenance of MCS 6.3 hardware and software; upgrade the existing system with enhancements to security, interoperability, and net-centricity, as well as the development of a new version of software. The AoA resulted in the upgrade of existing systems being approved as the selected alternative on December 16, 2004. As a result, MCS 6.4 was designated the version in support of the greater ABCS Version 6.4. An Economic Analysis of the designated version of MCS was later conducted on June 4, 2005. The program progressed from this point and successfully achieved its Milestone C (Full Rate Production Decision) on June 29, 2005, at which time a new acquisition program baseline (APB) was established. The program continues to progress in accordance with the MCS 6.4 CPD which was approved on June 23, 2008, and the most current APB which was approved on February 28, 2008. In addition, on November 12, 2003, the Army Chief of Staff approved MCS Version 6.4 as "Good Enough" to support Milestone C.

**Firm, Fixed-Price Feasibility:** The determination of the development/integration contract type was based on cost and technical risk associated with satisfying the requirement. A new IDIQ contract was awarded to General Dynamics on September 29, 2011 for CPOF Development which will include Cost Plus Fixed Fee, Cost Plus Award Fee and Firm Fixed-Price Task Orders.

The Milestone Decision Authority has selected a cost-type contract because development tasks are sufficiently complex and technically challenging that it is impossible to precisely estimate the cost of satisfying the requirements, and it is not practicable to reduce cost and technical risk to a level that would permit the use of a fixed-price contract.

**Independent Cost Estimate:** The program has not experienced a Critical Change which would induce the independent cost estimate required by 10 U.S.C. 2334(a)(6).

**Certification of Business Case Alignment; Explanation:** I certify that all technical and business requirements have been reviewed and validated to ensure alignment with the business case. This certification is based on the ORD, AoA, Chief of Staff memo, and Economic Analysis described above.

The above information was certified and provided to Congress in the 2011 MAIS Annual Report.

#### **Business Case Certification:**

Name: BG N. Lee S. Price, PEO C3T

#### **Business Case Changes**

There is no significant change to the Business Case since it was last certified.

# **Program Status**

**On Track:** The program is substantially on track to remain within the schedule, cost and performance thresholds identified in the Original Estimate; there have been no Significant or Critical Changes (as defined by 10 U.S.C. Chapter 144A) reported since the previous Quarterly Report.

#### **Schedule**

Schedule Events					
Events	Original Estimate Objective	Current Estimate (Or Actual)			
Milestone A <sup>1</sup>	N/A	N/A			
Milestone B	Aug 1999	Aug 1999			
First Unit Equipped (MCS 6.4)	Mar 2005	Mar 2005			
Milestone C (Full Rate Production Decision)	Jun 2005	Jun 2005			
Initial Operational Capablity	Jun 2005	Jun 2005			
First Unit Equiped (CPOF Tech Insertion)	Jan 2006	Jan 2006			
Fielding Decision (MCS/CPOF) <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2010	Jan 2009			
Full Deployment <sup>3</sup>	Sep 2018	Dec 2018			

#### Memo

1/ Milestone A - Granted under the Legacy MCS program.

2/ Fielding Decision (MCS/CPOF) – The Program Executive Officer for Command, Control and Communications - Tactical, approved fielding of the current baseline solution in January 2009 through the quarterly release process. A safety and suitability statement was also issued by the user, based on developmental and operational testing conducted while in theatre.

3/ FD – Defined as completion of initial fielding of equipment, plus required refresh with increased capability to achieve standardization (of the baseline) across the entire Army in FY 2019.

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

CPOF - Command Post of the Future MCS - Maneuver Control System

# **Performance**

Performance Characteristics						
Original Estimate Objective/Threshold						
KPP-1-NET READY:						
Support Net Centric Military Operations 1						
Fully support all operational activities. Must satisfy requirements for Net-Centric operations by complying with DISR mandated GIG IT standards and profiles, with DISR mandated GIG KIPs, and with NCOW RM Enterprise Services.	Fully support joint operational activities. Must satisfy requirements for transition to Net-Centric operations by complying with DISR mandated GIG IT standards and profiles, with DISR mandated GIG KIPs, and with NCOW RM Enterprise Services.	Met Threshold				
Provide ability to enter and be managed in the network and exchange data in a secured manner 1						
Must satisfy information assurance requirements and receive an ATO	Must satisfy information assurance requirements and receive an IATO	Met Threshold				
Continuously provide survivable, interoperable, secure and operationally effective information exchanges <sup>1</sup>						
Must provide for operationally effective information exchange and mission critical performance and information assurance attributes specified in the applicable joint and system architectural views	Same as Objective	Met Threshold				
KPP-2-DISPLAY THE COMMON OPERATIO	NAL PICTURE (COP):					
Display the COP consisting of the following	ոց։ <sup>1</sup>					
Friendly forces determined by the commander including subordinate and supporting units at least one level up and two levels down.  Display enemy neutral and unknown forces/entities designated by the commander.		Met Threshold				
Interoperable with Joint and Coalition Systems <sup>1</sup>						
Display overlays created by MCS and other ABCS Systems Fully interoperable with USMC systems, GCCS-M, and TBMCS. Exchange information with coalition digital C2 systems.	Display overlays created by MCS and other ABCS Systems Exchange information with USMC systems consist of: Free text; spot reports; SALUTE reports; basic weather reports, NBC 1 reports; threat warnings; unit position reports; field orders & friendly unit overlays; and plans and orders.	Met Threshold				
KPP-3-DISSEMINATE (CREATE & EXCHANGE) ORDERS:						
MCS will create and exchange OPORDs, OPLANs, WARNOs, FRAGOs and Graphics 1						
With future Army and Joint C2 Systems. With	All ABCS except FBCB2-BFT. With C2PC (for joint	Met				

9

designated coalition systems interoperability) Threshold

#### Memo

1/ "Original Estimate (Objective)" and "Original Estimate (Threshold)" values represent the CPD as approved by the Army Requirements Oversight Council, April 2006; Joint Requirements Oversight Council approved June 2008.

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

ABCS - Army Battle Command Systems

C2 - Command and Control

C2PC - Command and Control Personal Computer

**COP - Common Operational Picture** 

DISR - Department of Defense Information Technology Standards Registry

FBCB2-BFT - Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below - Blue Force Tracking

FRAGO - Fragmentary Orders

GCCS-M - Global Command and Control System - Marine

GIG-IT - Global Information Grid - Information Technology

GIG-KIPs - Global Information Grid - Key Interface Profiles

MCS - Maneuver Control System

NBC - Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical

NCOW RM - Net-Centric Operations and Warfare Reference Model

**OPLAN - Operations Plan** 

**OPORD** - Operations Order

SALUTE - Size, Activity, Location, Unit, Time and Equipment

TBMCS - Theater Battle Management Core Systems

USMC - United States Marine Corps

WARNO - Warning Order

# Cost

TMC							
	BY 20	05 \$M	TY \$M				
Appropriation Category	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual			
Acquisition Cost							
RDT&E	286.2	384.5	325.9	439.3			
Procurement	1422.1	1483.2	1526.6	1752.8			
MILCON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Acquisition Cost	1708.3	1867.7	1852.5	2192.1			
Operating and Support (O&S) Cost							
Total Operating and Support (O&S) Cost	94.6	96.4	116.5	123.4			
Total Life-Cycle Cost							
Total Life-Cycle Cost	1802.9	1964.1	1969.0	2315.5			

## **Cost Notes**

- This report and the Budget Year IT-1 Exhibit cover different time periods thus the costs will not match.
   Then Year dollars are included for information purposes only; cost variances will be reported against Base Year dollars.
   The O&S costs reflect all work performed during that phase, regardless of the type or source of funding.